

La trasformazione genetica nella vite: applicazioni, benefici e rischi

Prof. Bruno Mezzetti Ph.D. Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali Università Politecnica delle Marche Email: <u>b.mezzetti@univpm.it</u>



ACCADEMIA ITALIANA DELLA VITE E DEL VINO GLI O.G.M. IN VITICOLTURA

sabato 3 dicembre 2011 la Biblioteca Internazionale "LA VIGNA" di Vicenza

## Parte 1<sup>a</sup>

## GM MOST CULTIVATED CROPS



## GM Research Trend in Europe 1991-2002 Total number of permits and notification approved per year









### Genetic transformation of grape

#### via-Embryogenesis

transformation of embryogenic calli obtained from different tissue

- zygotic embryos
- leaves
- ovaries
- anther filaments

# Via-Organogenesis



#### based on the formation of a meristematic bulk with a high regenerative capacity, using adventitious shoots as a starting material.



## DefH9-IaaM Gene (Spena – UniVR)



It confers expression within the placenta and the ovules and it has been used to confer parthenocarpic fruit development to several plant species and varieties (Rotino et al., 1997)





From regeneration and selection to the open field (2001)

#### DefH9-iaaM Table Grape (Genomic DNA digested with HindIII)



Schematic drawings of the constructs used for transformation of Silcora (right) and Thompson Seedless (left) plants and probes indicated with grey boxes. Only restriction sites relevant for Southern analysis are indicated.

LB

# *DefH9–iaaM* expression in Table Grape

RT-PCR analysis performed with single strand cDNA synthesized from mRNA extracted from young flower initials of Thompson Seedless and Silcora control and transgenic plants. The amplification product of 266 bp corresponds to the 5' end of the spliced *DefH9iaaM* mRNA.



Flower initials transgenic for the *DefH9-iaaM* gene had an IAA content higher than controls (data not reported). The *DefH9-iaaM* auxin-synthesizing gene does not however inhibit grape fruit ripening.



The experimental trial:

'Thompson Seedless' Control and GM line: 32 plants each 'Silcora' Control and GM lines (line A and line B): 16 plants each Vines were spaced at 2.5 x 1.5 m, trained with the 'double guyot' system.

#### 1. Thomphson seedless yield



#### 1. SILCORA Yield



### DefH9-iaaM gene effects on berry quality and nutritional values

Produc. cycles 2004-05-06	Soluble Solid Content (°B)	Titratable Acidity		рН		Tartaric Acid(g/L)		MalicAci (g/L)		Citric Acid (g/L)			
Th CT	18.83 <u>+</u> 0.35 ns	9.62 <u>+</u> 0.35 a		3.14 <u>+</u> 0.02	b	8.58 <u>+</u> 0.27 ns		3.36 <u>+</u> 0.23 a		۵	0.30 <u>+</u> 0.02 a		
Th GM line	18.7 <u>+</u> 0.38 ns	7.97 <u>+</u> 0.24	4 b	3.22 <u>+</u> 0.03	۵	8.35 <u>+</u>	0.19 ns	2.42	2 <u>+</u> 0.32	Ь	0.22	<u>+</u> 0.01	b
Sil CT	15.19 <u>+</u> 0.60 ns	5.48 <u>+</u> 0.40	0 ns	3.25 <u>+</u> 0.05	ns	7.03 <u>+</u>	0.36 ns	1.28	8 <u>+</u> 0.18	ns	0.11	<u>+</u> 0.01 I	15
Sil line A	15.62 <u>+</u> 0.39 ns	5.43 <u>+</u> 0.39	43 <u>+</u> 0.39 ns     3.30 <u>+</u> 0.04 n		ns	6.67 <u>+</u>	0.32 ns 1		.47 <u>+</u> 0.20 ns		0.12 <u>+</u> 0.01 ns		
Sil line B	14.81 <u>+</u> 0.51 ns	5.92 <u>+</u> 0.3	6 ns	3.23 <u>+</u> 0.05	ns	7.04 <u>+</u> 0.33 ns		1.27	.27 <u>+</u> 0.14 ns		0.10 <u>+</u> 0.01 ns		
Mean <u>+</u> SE; Duncan's statystical test, P <u>&lt;</u> 0.05				Produc. cycles 2004- 05-06				TPH TEAC Mg TroloxE GA/gfrutto li/g)		C oxE(	umo		
			Th CT				1.02 <u>+</u> 0.0	3 b	3.34 <u>+</u> 0.10		Da		
			Th GM line				1.13 <u>+</u> 0.0 <sup>,</sup>	4 a	3.08 <u>+</u> 0.7 b				
			Sil	СТ			1.57 <u>+</u> 0.0	9 b	5.98	<u>+</u> 0.3	0 Ь		
ST-STA POLICE			Sil GM line A				2.10 <u>+</u> 0.0	7.54	7.54 <u>+</u> 0.28 a				
TO A CONTRACT OF				Sil GM line B				1.93+0.13 a 7.13 <u>+</u> 0			2 a		
CARCINE			Men	n+SE'								_	

DefH9-iaaM gene expression during berry development





Fruit developmental phases: PB= pre-blooming; A= antesys; FS= fruit-set; SH= small hard fruit; LH= large hard fruit. Values are referred to PB, arbitrary set to 1.

IAA content during berry development





Fruit developmental phases: IS=inflorescences separated; PB=pre-blooming; A= antesys; FS=fruit-set; SH=small hard fruit



La trasformazione genetica nella vite: applicazioni, benefici e rischi

Prof. Bruno Mezzetti Ph.D. Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali Università Politecnica delle Marche Email: <u>b.mezzetti@univpm.it</u>



ACCADEMIA ITALIANA DELLA VITE E DEL VINO GLI O.G.M. IN VITICOLTURA

sabato 3 dicembre 2011 la Biblioteca Internazionale "LA VIGNA" di Vicenza

## Parte 2<sup>a</sup>

## NOW RUNNING PROJECTS:

Application of post transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS) to pathogen resistance in grape and functional genomics

I. Study of techniques to confer virus resistance in grapevine through PTGS.

II. To identify grapevine homologous of the AUCSIA tomato genes, study their expression and investigate their function through PTGS-mediated genetic suppression.

## Implementation of a grape transformation method based on organogenesis

## Post transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS)

Post-trascriptional gene silencing (PTGS) is an ubiquitary mechanism of adaptative defence against viruses and mobile genetic elements



Double stranded RNA can be produced by inverted repeats, viral RNA or by the action of RNA-dependent RNA polymerase PTGS mechanism has proved to be a useful biotechnological tool to produce plants resistant to viruses.



Hairpin constructs, carrying parts of viral genome can be introduced in plants leading to the inhibition of viruses replication

### I. Study of techniques to confer virus resistance in grapevine through PTGS

## <u>Fanleaf disease</u>

> The principal causative agent of this disease is the *Grapevine fanleaf virus* (GFLV), often associated with other viruses such as the *Arabis Mosaic Virus* (ArMV).

➤Transmission:

- medium and long distance by propagation of infected material

- short distance by nematodes (Xiphinema index for GFLV and Xiphinema diversicaudatum for ArMV)
- The symptoms include:
  - dwarfism
  - reduced fruit productivity and quality
  - alteration of leaf morphology





> The disease is ascribed to different viruses belonging to Closterovirus and Ampelovirus genera

>5 GLRaV (<u>Grapevine LeafRoll - Associated Virus</u>): GLRaV-1, GLRaV-2, GLRaV-3, GLRaV-4, GLRaV-5 has been identified

- >Transmission:
- infected material

- insects (Planococcus, Pseudococcus and Pulvinaria vitis)



## THE hpCONSTRUCT

Schematic drawing of the construct

hpViruses GFLV-GLRaV



The hairpin construct contains:

> a sequence of 200 bp of the GFLV RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene

> a sequence of 202 bp of the GLRaV3 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene of the italian GLRaV3 isolate Nicotiana benthamiana is a model plant for viral infection studies

Genetic transformation with hpViruses GFLV-GLRaV



*Nicotiana benthamiana* independent lines transformed with the hpViruses GFLV-GLRaV construct

#### PCR analysis



#### Southern blot analysis



The TO plants were backcrossed with wild-type plants and the T1 progeny were selected on kanamycin

The transgenicT1 progeny plants were mechanically inoculated with the ArMV

Genetic construct containing only regulatory regions has been used as control in the infection experiments





Five lines positive by PCR analysis

#### Inoculation of Nicotiana benthamiana with ArMV

#### In collaboration with Prof.ssa Annalisa Polverari



Local symptoms:

- chlorotic mottling

Sistemic symptoms:

- chlorotic bands along the veins
- curling of the leaves

To evaluate the systemic distribution of the ArMV virus 2-3 apical leaves were sampled two weeks after inoculation for the ELISA assays.

<u>Sample</u>	Absorbance 450 nm						
В	0.098						
Control +	1.873		Preliminary data seem to indicat a greater tendency in plants				
<b>hpViruses GGLV-GLRaV</b> B5	2.030		transformed with the construct hpViruses GFLV-GLRaV to limit				
B6	0.095		to wild-type plants				
C6	1.911						
C7	2.055						
C8	0.096						
D1	1.618	G5		1.870			
D3	2.036	G8		0.098			
D4	<b>0.099</b> G10			1.864			
D6	1.893	G11		1.778			
Prom/intr/term	1.873	<b>S1</b> (no	inoculated)	0.104			
F5	1.795	S2 (no	inoculated)	0.097			
G2	1.652	C.quir	10a	2.060			

#### GENETIC TRANSFORMATION AND RIGENERATION of GRAPEVINE (V.vinifera L.) with the *hpViruses GFLV-GLRaV CONSTRUCT* and the 355-GFP CONSTRUCT

Proliferating shoots were subjected to chemical and mechanical treatments to induce the formation of meristematic bulks characterized by a strong capacity to differentiate adventitious shoots.

#### Initiation and maintenance of meristematic bulk



CORVINA



PINOT





#### Agrobacterium tumefaciens infection



Slices (1cm<sup>2</sup>,2 mm) obtained from the meristematic bulk were dipped in the bacterial suspension (*A.tumefaciens* strain GV2260 harbouring construct hpVirusesGFLV-GLRaV and *A.tumefaciens* strain GV2260 harbouring construct GFP.)



#### Shoot regeneration



CORVINA



PINOT



1103 PAULSEN

The regeneration tests done on the cultivar Corvina evidenced a low regenerative ability as compared to Pinot noir and 1103 Paulsen

#### Selection and rooting

I. Prolonged selection on medium containing kanamycin 25mg l<sup>-1</sup>

Rooting of kanamycin 50mg l<sup>-1</sup>

II. Selection at increasing concentrations of kanamycin (25mg l<sup>-1</sup>,35 mg l<sup>-1</sup>and 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup>)

Rooting of kanamycin 35-50mg l<sup>-1</sup>

I. Selection of kanamycin 25mg l<sup>-1</sup> and rooting of kanamycin 50mg l<sup>-1</sup>

After nine-ten months of selection the shoots obtained were transferred on rooting substrate

Two rooted CORVINA lines positive by PCR analysis



Pinot noir and 1103Paulsen transformed with the hpVirusesGFLV-GLRaV construct

II. Selection at increasing concentrations of kanamycin
(25mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 35 mg l<sup>-1</sup>and 50 mg l<sup>-1</sup>)

Rooting of kanamycin 35-50mg l<sup>-1</sup>



#### Aucsia silenced plants

• Partenocarpic fruit



• Increase in IAA content of pre-anthesis in flower buds

Lines	Total IAA content (nmol g <sup>-1</sup> )
L276 1-1	113.6 ± 0.99
L276 7-1	261.0 ± 1.41
L276 WT	1.9 ± 0.33

•The fruits are reduced in size and weight

Lines	Fruit Set		Average weight (g)			
	n° of fruits/ n° of emasculated flowers	olo	Emasculated	Selfed		
L276 #1-1	4/9	44	13.49 ± 0.85***	38.98 ± 2.70***		
L276 #4-1 <sup>§</sup>	7/7	100	35.47 ± 12.03**	38.43 ± 21.10*		
L276 #7-1	6/11	54	$28.77 \pm 4.25^{***}$	34.29 ± 2.04***		
L276 #8-2	10/15	67	40.14 ± 2.93***	$48.38 \pm 3.13^*$		
L276 WT	0/15	0	-	81.29 ± 4.39		
INB777 #7-1	20/25	80	18.27 ± 1.61***	48.72 ± 5.76**		
INB777 #13-3	14/20	70	18.47 ± 1.36***	61.04 ± 5.55*		
INB777 WT	0/20	0	-	$77.40 \pm 4.24$		

## THE VvAucsia1hp CONSTRUCT



Schematic drawing of the construct used for V. vinifera L transformation.

The hairpin construct contains:

- a sequence of 200 bp of the VvAUCSIA1 gene

## RESULTS

I. Selection of kanamycin 25mg l<sup>-1</sup> and rooting of kanamycin 50mg l<sup>-1</sup>

After nine months of selection the shoots obtained are transferred on rooting substrate

#### <u>Seven lines</u> rooted were positive to PCR analysis





#### LA VITE TRANSGENICA NEL MONDO

- Dennis J. Gray
- Professor of Developmental Biology
- University of Florida, IFAS Mid-Florida Research & Education Center 2725 Binion Road, Apopka, FL 32703-8504
- E-mail: <u>djg@ufl.edu</u>





## Why we need the open field trials with GM plants:



Which risks: environment?, Human Health?, the agricultural economy????

Which benefits: increased production efficiency and quality

# The Biosafety legal framework UNIDO-UPM E-BIOSAFETY MASTER



The European Commission adopted Directive 2001/18/EC (repealing Directive 90/220/EEC) to govern the deliberate release of GMOs into the environment.

Risks for human heath
Risks for the environment
Risks for agriculture systems

• 'CASE BY CASE' (Gene and Plant Specie)

• 'ON SCIENTIFIC BASE'

• Eliminate all the antibiotic markers with possible risks to human health and environment, followed by the EFSA opinion on the type of antibiotics (*nptII*).

12/03/2012



## GMO Risks and Benefits evaluation EXPERIMENTAL TRIALS INTERACTION OF COMPETENCES

Gene function in the donor organism.
Gene effect on the phenotype.
Evidence of toxicity and allergenic effects.
Persistency and invasiveness in agriculture.
Impact on no target organisms.
Gene flow (soil-microrganisms, environment-plant)

Decree No. 224 has now been completed by the recent **Decree No. 5 (28/01/05)**, prepared by the **Minister of Agriculture**, that identified for the first time in Italy the major rules of **co-existence** between GMO and traditional cultivation systems (**Regions acceptance within June 2006**).

12/03/2012



#### UNICO ESEMPIO DI STUDIO METABOLOMICO MEDIANTE NMR IN VITE GM



Figure 2. Silcora sample multivariate analysis. (A) Score plot obtained by application of PCA on mean-centered and scaled spectral bins recorded on berry extracts of the Silcora cultivar. The first two PCs explain 25% (PC1) and 13% (PC2), respectively, of the total variance. (B, C) Loading plots for spectral bins, along PC1 and PC2, respectively. The black bins labeled with numbers are described in the text as representative of meaningful bins responsible of separation along the respective PC dimension. regure 4. Thompson samples multivariate analysis. (A) Score plot obtained by application of PCA on mean-centered and scaled spectral bins recorded on berry extracts of Thompson cultivar. The first two PCs explain 15% (PC1) and 12% (PC2), respectively, of the total variance. (B, C) Loading plots for spectral bins, along PC1 and PC2, respectively. The black bins labeled with numbers are described in the text as representative of meaningful bins responsible of separation along the respective PC dimension.

respectively. The black bins labeled with numbers are described in the text as representative of meaningful bins responsible of separation along the respective PC dimension.

bins recorded on berry extracts of all cultivars and genotypes. The first

two PCs explain 26% (PC1) and 12% (PC2), respectively, of the total

variance. (B, C) Loading plots for spectral bins, along PC1 and PC2,

#### AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

ARTICLE

pubs.acs.org/JAFC

#### Unsupervised Principal Component Analysis of NMR Metabolic Profiles for the Assessment of Substantial Equivalence of Transgenic Grapes (Vitis vinifera)

Gianfranco Picone,<sup>†</sup> Bruno Mezzetti,<sup>§</sup> Elena Babini,<sup>†,\*</sup> Franco Capocasa,<sup>§</sup> Giuseppe Placucci,<sup>†</sup> and Francesco Capozzi<sup>\*,†,\*</sup>

Department of Food Science, University of Bologna at Cesena, Piazza Goidanich 60, 47520 Cesena (FC), Italy

<sup>9</sup>Department of Environmental Science and Vegetal Productions, Marche Polytechnic University, Via Breace Bianche, 60131 Ancona (AN), Italy

\*Centre of Magnetic Resonance (CERM), University of Florence, Via L. Sacconi 6, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

### CONCLUSIONS

•First example of trasformation of Corvina cultivar (after confirmation by Southern Blot analysis) with an anviral construct

•Obtainement of transgenic lines- prototype for future virus resistance assessment

•Obtainement of transgenic lines for studyng AUCSIA function during grape berry growth

#### WORK in progress and future....

• Virus infection experiments on *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants and grape to test the efficiency of the hpconstruct for multiple resistance

 Production of Pinot noir and 1103Paulsen transformed with the hpVirusesGFLV-GLRaV construct

Use the transgenic rootstock lines to test the possibility of conferring virus
resistance to scion.



Università di Verona Dipartimento di Biotecnologie

Dott.ssa Tiziana Pandolfini Dott.ssa Annalisa Polverari Dott.ssa Barbara Molesini Dott.Youry Pii



Università Politecnica delle Marche Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari ed Ambientali (D3A) Ancona

> Prof. Bruno Mezzetti Dott.ssa Silvia Sabbadini



Dott. Oriano Navacchi Vitroplant Technologies for Agricultural plants, Cesena

consorzio italiano vivaisti viticoli







A GM GRAPE e is still missing on the market.

It can be a consumer Right but also of high Benefit for the growers.